


April 9, 1958

RESEARCH PAPER



CABLE INSTALLATION COSTS

by

F. B. WOOD

This document has been declassified
by IBM. The notation "IBM Confidential"
should be ignored.

This document contains information of a proprietary nature and is classified **COMPANY CONFIDENTIAL**. No information contained herein shall be divulged to persons other than IBM employees authorized by the nature of their duties to receive such information or individuals or organizations authorized in writing by the Director of Research or his duly appointed representative to receive such information.



**INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION
RESEARCH LABORATORY, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA**

April 9, 1958

CABLE INSTALLATION COSTS

by

F. B. WOOD

ABSTRACT

This material has been prepared for use in balancing intra-plant cable costs with the cost of multiplexing and terminal equipment which would permit lower cost cables. The material, labor, and total costs per lineal foot of communications cable are tabulated for number 19, 22, and 24 gauges for one, 16, and 51 pair cables for different types of installations. These costs are based on prevailing prices and wage rates in San Jose, California, on December 31, 1957.

This report, based on estimates, prepared by Department 706, Plant Engineering, San Jose, at the request of Department 523 of the San Jose Research Laboratory contains information intended primarily for the persons on the distribution list. Comments or requests for information should be directed to the author.

Introduction

The cable costs in this report have been prepared by the Plant Engineering Department in San Jose for the purpose of providing some examples of communication cable installation costs for use by the IBM Data Transmission Committee.

The analysis of intra-plant communication between many terminal stations and a central file or computer sometimes shows that cable costs are as high, or higher, than the cost of the terminal and multiplexing equipment. This makes it important to have sample calculations of cable costs so that the relative costs of simple terminal and multiplex equipment used with expensive multi-conductor cables can be compared with the cost of more complex equipment used with more economical cable pairs.

These different costs represent different cases a customer might encounter such as:

- a. New construction where the cost includes the cost of placing conduit before pouring the concrete.
- b. Installation in old buildings where the conduit is installed exposed on existing walls, ceilings, or beams.
- c. Installation between buildings on customer property where space is available in existing concrete ducts.

A breakdown between material and labor and details of the assumed conditions are provided so one can estimate the relevance of these examples to other cases. These estimates are for conditions prevailing at San Jose, California, as of December 31, 1957. Substantial price increases have already occurred since then.

There is an Underwriters Laboratory Specification* that covers the wiring of interoffice communication equipment and signaling appliances. It covers No. 22 AWG cable for use on Class 2 circuits as described in Article 725 of the National Electrical Code where the power supply is rated at not over 100 volt-amperes and 150 volts and where the operating temperature does not exceed 60° C. Costs are included for No. 19 and 24 AWG cable in addition to No. 22 since all three sizes are commonly used for telephone cables.

* Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., Subject 13, "Thermoplastic-Insulated Low Energy Circuit Cable," May 28, 1954.

April 9, 1958

- 2 -

UNIT COST DATA ON CABLE INSTALLATION

The following unit prices are based on the following assumed conditions:

A. Special terminal devices such as cannon plugs, etc., are not included. A 5'-0" long pig-tail is provided at both ends of the cable run for direct connection to the machines.

B. Extended lengths of 100 feet or more require a pull box at 100 foot intervals. Runs of 100 feet or less do not require intermediate pull boxes.

C. Conduit embedded in the slab can be installed in a straight line between machines, whereas an average of 35% additional conduit and cable will be required for exposed conduit run to preserve the appearance of such installations.

D. Pull and junction boxes are standard, galvanized, pressed steel 4" or 4-11/16" square outlet boxes fitted with bushed, chase-nipped cover plates at both ends of the run and blank cover plates for intermediate pull boxes.

E. The use of "Inside-Outside" telephone cable with Polyethylene insulated copper conductors and Polyvinyl-Chloride jacket, has been assumed for all conditions.

F. 10% additional cost has been included in the material cost to cover conduit straps, bushings, locknuts, etc.

G. A 25% markup together with 4% sales tax has been applied to the net (Contractor's) cost of materials.

H. Labor cost data has been derived from the National Electrical Contractor's Association Manual of labor units.

I. The following percentage buildup has been applied to the cost of labor (local conditions).

	<u>Straight Time</u>
Compensation	2.00%
Property Damage	1.00%
Public Liability	1.00%
Federal & State Unemployment	2.50%
Federal Social Security	2.50%
Union Welfare	1.50%
N.E.C.A.	<u>1.00%</u>
TOTAL	11.5 %

April 9, 1958

- 3 -

	<u>Journeyman</u>	<u>Foreman</u>
Base Rate	3.81	4.28
Insurance, benefits, etc. (11.5%)	<u>.44</u>	<u>.49</u>
	4.25	4.77
Plus 10% Overhead	<u>.43</u>	<u>.48</u>
	4.68	5.25
Plus 10% Profit	<u>.47</u>	<u>.53</u>
GRAND TOTAL	\$5.15/hr.	\$5.78/hr.

April 9, 1958

SUMMARY SHEET

Assumed Conditions

WIRE SIZE A.W.G.	NO. OF PAIRS	100 Ft. Run in Rigid Steel Conduit Imbedded In Floor Slab			500 Ft. Run in Rigid Steel Conduit Imbedded In Floor Slab		
		Installed Cost in Dollars Per Lineal Foot of Cable					
		MATERIAL	LABOR	TOTAL	MATERIAL	LABOR	TOTAL
#19	1	.48	.31	.79	.50	.33	.83
	16	.95	.60	1.55	.97	.62	1.59
	51	1.77	.78	2.55	1.80	.82	2.62
#22	1	.45	.30	.75	.47	.33	.80
	16	.66	.43	1.09	.68	.46	1.14
	51	1.40	.74	2.14	1.43	.78	2.21
#24	1	.44	.30	.74	.46	.33	.79
	16	.60	.40	1.00	.65	.45	1.10
	51	1.06	.61	1.67	1.10	.66	1.76
		100 Ft. Run in Rigid Steel Conduit, Exposed, 12 Ft. Ceiling Height			500 Ft. Run In Rigid Steel Conduit, Exposed, 12 Ft. Ceiling Height		
#19	1	.51	.81	1.32	.53	.86	1.39
	16	1.23	1.59	2.82	1.25	1.62	2.87
	51	2.05	2.67	4.72	2.08	2.70	4.78
#22	1	.46	.79	1.25	.48	.84	1.32
	16	.89	1.11	2.00	.91	1.14	2.05
	51	1.76	2.20	3.96	1.78	2.24	4.02
#24	1	.45	.78	1.23	.47	.83	1.30
	16	.82	1.06	1.88	.84	1.09	1.93
	51	1.34	1.75	3.09	1.36	1.78	2.14
		100 Ft. Run in Underground Concrete Duct (Existing)					
#19	1	.06	.07	.13			
	16	.34	.28	.62			
	51	.92	.36	1.28			
#22	1	.03	.06	.09			
	16	.22	.18	.40			
	51	.58	.28	.86			
#24	1	.03	.04	.07			
	16	.17	.14	.31			
	51	.44	.26	.70			

April 9, 1958

DISTRIBUTION LIST

R. D. Anderson	San Jose Product Planning
A. P. Arneth, Jr.	KMPD, Lexington, Mass.
B. J. Bennett	San Jose Product Development
L. H. Bixby, Jr.	San Jose Product Development
W. A. Christopherson	San Jose Systems Research
G. J. Evans	Yorktown, Project SABER
F. E. Grace	Yorktown Research
R. L. Haug	San Jose Research
G. V. Hawkins	DP Product Development - Poughkeepsie
E. Hopner	San Jose Research - Communications
T. R. Horton	DPHQ - White Plains
D. R. Jensen	San Jose Plant Engineering
R. B. Johnson	San Jose Research
M. L. Lesser	San Jose Research
J. A. McLaughlin	San Jose Research
H. Markey	San Jose Research - Communications
D. F. Milvaney	Engineering & Research Liaison
W. F. Morgan	Endicott - Department 665
R. H. Perry	San Jose Plant Engineering
N. L. Rasmussen	DPHQ - White Plains
T. E. Rushforth	WHQ - Contract Relations
S. A. Schaffer	San Jose Product Planning
R. A. Wadsten	Poughkeepsie - Product Planning
C. A. Walton	Endicott - TE Development Engineering
N. D. Wilson	KMPD, Poughkeepsie
F. B. Wood	San Jose Research - Communications
J. L. Wood	WHQ - TE Product Planning